

past, present and future

Galois Inc., Oregon, August 2018

Leonardo de Moura Microsoft Research

https://leanprover.github.io

Lean is a platform for software verification and formalized mathematics

Goals

- Proof stability
- Extensibility
- Expressivity Dependent Type Theory
- Scalability
- de Bruijn's principle: small trusted kernel, and 2 external type checkers

"Hack without fear"

Motivation: automated provers @ Microsoft





Software verification & automated provers

- Easy to use for simple properties
- Main problems:
 - Scalability issues
 - Proof stability
 - Hard to control the behavior of automated provers
- in many verification projects:
 - Hyper-V
 - Ironclad & Ironfleet (<u>https://github.com/Microsoft/Ironclad</u>)
 - Everest (<u>https://project-everest.github.io/</u>)

Extend Lean using Lean

Metaprogramming Domain specific automation Domain specific languages

Whitebox automation

Access Lean internals using Lean

Simplifiers, decision procedures, type class resolution, type inference, unifiers, matchers, ...

Applications

- IVy Metatheory (Ken McMillan MSR Redmond)
- AliveInLean (Nuno Lopes MSR Cambridge)
- Protocol Verification (Joe Hendrix, Joey Dodds, Ben Sherman, Ledah Casburn, Simon Hudon - Galois)
- Verified Machine Learning (Daniel Selsam Stanford)
- SQL query equivalence (Shumo Chu et al UW)

Applications (cont.)

- FormalAbstracts (Tom Hales University of Pittsburgh)
- Lean Forward, Number Theory (Jasmin Blanchette Vrije Universiteit)
- Mathlib (Mario Carneiro CMU and Johannes Hölzl Vrije Universiteit)
- Teaching
 - Logic and Automated Reasoning (Jeremy Avigad CMU)
 - Programming Languages (Zach Tatlock UW)
 - Foundations of Analysis (Kevin Buzzard Imperial College)

Alive

Nuno Lopes, MSR Cambridge



Re-implementation of Alive in Lean

Open source: <u>https://github.com/Microsoft/AliveInLean</u> Pending issues:

- Using processes+pipes to communicate with Z3
- Simpler framework for specifying LLVM instructions

Lean Demo

Writing metaprograms/tactics/automation in Lean

Metaprogramming example

```
meta def find : expr \rightarrow list expr \rightarrow tactic expr
| e [] := failed
| e (h :: hs) :=
  do t \leftarrow infer_type h,
      (unify e t >> return h) <|> find e hs
meta def assumption : tactic unit :=
do { ctx ← local_context,
      t \leftarrow target,
      h \leftarrow find t ctx,
      exact h }
<|> fail "assumption tactic failed"
lemma simple (p q : Prop) (h_1 : p) (h_2 : q) : q :=
```

by assumption

Reflecting expressions

inductive	e level	i
zero	: level	
succ	: level \rightarrow level	
max	: level \rightarrow level \rightarrow leve	el
imax	: level \rightarrow level \rightarrow leve	el
param	: name \rightarrow level	
mvar	: name \rightarrow level	

nductive expr			
	var	:	$nat \rightarrow expr$
	lconst	:	name \rightarrow name \rightarrow expr
	mvar	:	name \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr
	sort	:	level \rightarrow expr
	const	:	name \rightarrow list level \rightarrow expr
	арр	:	$expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr$
	lam	:	name \rightarrow binfo \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr
	pi	:	name \rightarrow binfo \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr
	elet	:	name \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr

```
meta def num_args : expr \rightarrow nat
| (app f a) := num_args f + 1
| e := 0
```

Quotations

```
example : true ∧ true :=
by do apply `(and.intro trivial trivial)
example (p : Prop) : p → p ∨ false :=
by do e ← intro `h, refine ``(or.inl %%e)
```

<pre>meta def is_not :</pre>	expr \rightarrow option expr	meta def is_not : expr \rightarrow optic	on expr
`(not %%a)	:= some a	(app (const ``not _) a)	:= some a
`(%%a \rightarrow false)	:= some a	(pi a (const ``false _))	:= some a
_	:= none	_	:= none

The tactic monad

```
meta inductive result (state : Type) (\alpha : Type)

| success : \alpha \rightarrow state \rightarrow result

| exception : option (unit \rightarrow format) \rightarrow option pos \rightarrow state \rightarrow result

meta def interaction_monad (state : Type) (\alpha : Type) :=

state \rightarrow result state \alpha
```

meta def tactic := interaction_monad tactic_state

	tactic_state	
environment	metava	ariables
Prop : Type nat : Type	?m1: a : Type, s : ring a, a b :	α, h : b + 1 = a ⊢ a - 1 = b
nat.succ : nat → nat 	?m₂: a : Type, s : ring a, a b : •	α, h : b + 1 = a ⊢ α
Attributes [simp] add_zero 	?m₃: a : Type, s : ring a, a b :	α, h : b + 1 = a ⊢ a - 1 = ?m₂
	 (partial) as [?m1 := (eq.tran	ssignment s ?m₃ ?m₄) , …]
options pp.all true trace.smt true	goals [?m₃, ?m₄, …]	main: ?m1

Extending the tactic state

```
def state_t (\sigma : Type) (m : Type \rightarrow Type) [monad m] (\alpha : Type) : Type := \sigma \rightarrow m \ (\alpha \times \sigma)
```

meta constant smt_goal : Type
meta def smt_state := list smt_goal
meta def smt_tactic := state_t smt_state tactic

meta def eblast : smt_tactic unit := repeat (ematch; try close)

```
meta def collect_implied_eqs : tactic cc_state :=
focus $ using_smt $ do
    add_lemmas_from_facts, eblast,
    (done; return cc_state.mk) <|> to_cc_state
```

Superposition prover

• 2200 lines of code

example { α } [monoid α] [has_inv α] : ($\forall x : \alpha, x * x^{-1} = 1$) $\rightarrow \forall x : \alpha, x^{-1} * x = 1$:=

by super with mul_assoc mul_one

```
meta structure prover_state :=
 (active passive : rb_map clause_id derived_clause)
 (newly_derived : list derived_clause) (prec : list expr)
 (locked : list locked_clause) (sat_solver : cdcl.state)
 ...
meta def prover := state_t prover_state tactic
```

dlist

```
structure dlist (\alpha : Type u) :=
(apply : list \alpha \rightarrow list \alpha)
(invariant : \forall l, apply l = apply [] ++ l)
def to_list : dlist \alpha \rightarrow list \alpha
| (xs, _) := xs []
```

```
local notation `#`:max := by abstract {intros, rsimp}
```

```
/-- `O(1)` Append dlists -/
protected def append : dlist \alpha \rightarrow dlist \alpha \rightarrow dlist \alpha
| (xs, h<sub>1</sub>) (ys, h<sub>2</sub>) := (xs \circ ys, #)
instance : has_append (dlist \alpha) :=
(dlist.append)
```

transfer tactic

• Developed by Johannes Hölzl (approx. 200 lines of code)

```
lemma to_list_append (l1 l2 : dlist α) : to_list (l1 ++ l2) = to_list l1 ++ to_list l2 :=
show to_list (dlist.append l1 l2) = to_list l1 ++ to_list l2, from
by cases l1; cases l2; simp; rsimp
```

```
protected def rel_dlist_list (d : dlist α) (l : list α) : Prop :=
to_list d = l
protected meta def transfer : tactic unit := do
    _root_.transfer.transfer [`relator.rel_forall_of_total, `dlist.rel_eq, `dlist.rel_empty,
    `dlist.rel_singleton, `dlist.rel_append, `dlist.rel_cons, `dlist.rel_concat]
example : ∀(a b c : dlist α), a ++ (b ++ c) = (a ++ b) ++ c :=
begin
    dlist.transfer,
    intros,
    simp
end
```

• We also use it to transfer results from nat to int.

Lean to SMT2

- Goal: translate a Lean local context, and goal into SMT2 query.
- Recognize fragment and translate to low-order logic (LOL).
- Logic supports some higher order features, is successively lowered to FOL, finally SMT2.



```
mutual inductive type, term

with type : Type

I bool : type

I int : type

I var : string \rightarrow type

I fn : list type \rightarrow type \rightarrow type

I refinement : type \rightarrow (string \rightarrow term) \rightarrow type

with term : Type

I apply : string \rightarrow list term \rightarrow term

I true : term

I false : term

I var : string \rightarrow term

I equals : term \rightarrow term

I ...

I forallq : string \rightarrow type \rightarrow term \rightarrow term
```

meta structure context :=
(type_decl : rb_map string type)
(decls : rb_map string decl)
(assertions : list term)

meta def reflect_prop_formula' : expr \rightarrow smt2_m lol.term $|(\neg \%\% P) := lol.term.not <$ (reflect_prop_formula' P) $I^{(\%\%P = \%\%Q)} := lol.term.equals <$ (reflect_prop_formula' P) <*> (reflect_prop_formula' Q) l `(%%P ^ %%Q) := lol.term.and <\$> (reflect_prop_formula' P) <*> (reflect_prop_formula' Q) I`(%%P ∨ %%Q) := lol.term.or <\$> (reflect_prop_formula' P) <*> (reflect_prop_formula' Q) l`(%%P < %%Q) := reflect_ordering lol.term.lt P Q l`(true) := return \$ lol.term.true l`(false) := return \$ lol.term.false l e := ...

Coinductive predicates

- Developed by Johannes Hölzl (approx. 800 lines of code)
- Uses impredicativity of Prop
- No kernel extension is needed

```
coinductive all_stream {\alpha : Type u} (s : set \alpha) : stream \alpha \rightarrow \text{Prop}
| step {} : \forall{a : \alpha} {\omega : stream \alpha}, a \in s \rightarrow all_stream \omega \rightarrow all_stream (a :: \omega)
```

```
coinductive alt_stream : stream bool → Prop
| tt_step : ∀{ω : stream bool}, alt_stream (ff :: ω) → alt_stream (tt :: ff :: ω)
| ff_step : ∀{ω : stream bool}, alt_stream (tt :: ω) → alt_stream (ff :: tt :: ω)
```

Ring solver

- Developed by Mario Carneiro (approx. 500 lines of code)
- <u>https://github.com/leanprover/mathlib/blob/master/tactic/ring.lean</u>
- ring2 uses computational reflection

```
import tactic.ring
theorem ex1 (a b c d : int) : (a + 0 + b) * (c + d) = b*d + c*b + a * c + d * a :=
by ring
theorem ex2 (\alpha : Type) [comm_ring \alpha] (a b c d : \alpha)
| \quad | \quad : (a + 0 + b) * (c + d) = b*d + c*b + a * c + d * a :=
by ring
```

Fourier-Motzkin elimination

- Linear arithmetic inequalities
- Developed here
- <u>https://github.com/GaloisInc/lean-protocol-support/tree/master/galois/arith</u>

Lean 3.x limitations

- Lean programs are compiled into byte code
- Lean expressions are foreign objects in the Lean VM
- Very limited ways to extend the parser

<pre>infix >=</pre>	:= ge	
infix ≥	:= ge	
<pre>infix ></pre>	:= gt	
notation	`∃` binders `,	<pre>` r:(scoped P, Exists P) := r</pre>
notation	`[` l:(foldr `,	<pre>` (h t, list.cons h t) list.nil `]`) := l</pre>

- Users cannot implement their own elaboration strategies
- Users cannot extend the equation compiler (e.g., support for quotient types)

Lean 4

- Leo and Sebastian Ullrich (and soon Gabriel Ebner)
- Implement Lean in Lean
 - parser, elaborator, equation compiler, code generator, tactic framework and formatter
- New intermediate representation (defined in Lean) can be translated into C++ (and LLVM IR)
- Only runtime, kernel and basic primitives are implemented in C++
- Users may want to try to prove parts of the Lean code generator or implement their own kernel in Lean
- Foreign function interface (invoke external tools)

Lean 4 architecture



Parser

- Implemented in Lean
- Fully extensible
- Design your own domain specific language
- Error recovery, documentation, printer, ... for free

```
@[irreducible, derive monad alternative monad_reader monad_state monad_parsec monad_except]
def read_m := rec_t syntax $ reader_t reader_config $ state_t reader_state $ parsec syntax
```

```
structure reader :=
(read : read_m syntax)
(tokens : list token_config := [])
```

```
def open_export.reader : reader :=
  [ident,
  ["as", ident]?,
  [try ["(", ident], ident*, ")"]?,
  [try ["(", "renaming"], [ident, "->", ident]+, ")"]?,
  ["(", "hiding", ident+, ")"]?
]+

def open.reader : reader :=
node «open» ["open", open_export.reader]
```

Syntax Objects

```
structure syntax_ident :=
(info : option source_info) (name : name) (msc : option macro_scope_id) (res : option resolved)
```

```
inductive atomic_val
```

| string (s : string)
| name (n : name)

```
structure syntax_atom :=
(info : option source_info) (val : atomic_val)
```

```
structure syntax_node (syntax : Type) :=
(macro : name) (args : list syntax)
```

```
inductive syntax
| ident (val : syntax_ident)
/- any non-ident atom -/
| atom (val : syntax_atom)
```

```
| node (val : syntax_node syntax)
```

Macros can be expanded and/or elaborated. Users can define new readers and macros.

Kernel expressions

Elaborator converts syntax objects into expressions.

inductive expr

bvar	5	nat → expr
fvar	:	name → expr
mvar	:	name → expr → expr
sort	:	level → expr
const	:	name \rightarrow list level \rightarrow expr
арр	:	$expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr$
lam	:	name \rightarrow binder_info \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr
pi	:	name \rightarrow binder_info \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr
elet	:	name \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr
lit	:	literal → expr
mdata	:	kvmap → expr → expr
proj	:	nat \rightarrow expr \rightarrow expr

- -- bound variables
- -- free variables
- -- (temporary) meta variables
- -- Sort
- -- constants
- -- application
- r -- lambda abstraction
- or -- Pi
 - -- let expressions
 - -- literals
 - -- metadata
 - -- projection

Compiler - code generator

- Implemented Lean
- External contributors can prove the new compiler is correct
- Code specialization and monomorphization
- Target is the new IR also defined in Lean
- Users can select theorems as optimization rules

<code>@[simp] lemma map_map</code> (g : $\beta \rightarrow \gamma$) (f : $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$) (l : list α) : map g (map f l) = map (g \circ f) l := by induction l; simp [*]</code>

Runtime

Strict, GC based on reference counting, destructive updates for unshared objects, support for unboxed values.

/- IR Instructions -/ inductive **instr** assign (x : var) (ty : type) (y : var) --x : ty := yassign_lit (x : var) (ty : type) (lit : literal) --x : ty := litassign_unop (x : var) (ty : type) (op : assign_unop) (y : var) -- x : ty := op y assign_binop (x : var) (ty : type) (op : assign_binop) (y z : var) -- x : ty := op y z (op : unop) (x : var) unop -- op x (xs : list var) (f : fnid) (ys : list var) --- Function call: xs := f ys call /- Constructor objects -/ -- Create constructor object cnstr (o : var) (tag : tag) (nobjs : uint16) (ssz : usize) (o : var) (i : uint16) (x : var) — Set object field: set o i x set x := get o i (x : var) (o : var) (i : uint16) -- Get object field: get -- Set scalar field: sset o d v sset (o: var) (d: usize) (v: var) (x : var) (ty : type) (o : var) (d : usize) -- Get scalar field: sget x : ty := sget o d/- Closures -/ closure (x : var) (f : fnid) (ys : list var) x := closure f ys -- Create closure: apply (x : var) (ys : list var) -- Apply closure: x := apply ys /- Arrays -/ -- Create array of objects with size `sz` and capacity `c` array (a sz c : var) sarray (a : var) (ty : type) (sz c : var) -- Create scalar array array_write (a i v : var) -- (scalar) Array write write a i v

inductive unop

| inc_ref | dec_ref | dec_sref | inc | dec
| free | dealloc
| array_pop | sarray_pop

inductive assign_unop

| not | neg | ineg | nat2int | is_scalar | is_shared | is_null | cast | box | unbox | array_copy | sarray_copy | array_size | sarray_size | string_len | succ | tag | tag_ref

Code generation hints

 Support for low-level tricks used in SMT and ATP. Example: pointer equality

```
def use_ptr_eq {\alpha : Type u} {a b : \alpha}
(c : unit -> {r : bool // a = b \rightarrow r = tt})
: {r : bool // a = b \rightarrow r = tt} :=
c ()
```

Given @use_ptr_eq _ a b c, compiler generates

if (addr_of(a) == addr_of(b)) return true; else return c();

Structured trace messages

- Why did my tactic/solver fail?
- Lean 3 has support for trace messages, but they are just a bunch of strings.
- Lean 4 will provide structured trace messages and APIs for browsing them.
- Traces will be generated on demand (improved discoverability).

```
inductive trace
| mk (msg : message) (subtraces : list trace)

def trace_map := rbmap pos trace (<)

structure trace_state :=
(opts : options)
(roots : trace_map)
(cur_pos : option pos)
(cur_traces : list trace)

def trace_t (m : Type → Type u) := state_t trace_state m

class monad_tracer (m : Type → Type u) :=
(trace_root {α} : pos → name → message → thunk (m α) → m α)
(trace_ctx {α} : name → message → thunk (m α) → m α)</pre>
```

Better support for proofs by reflection

- Define an inductive datatype (form) that captures a class of formulas.
- Implement a decision procedure dec_proc for this class.
- Prove: \forall (s : form) ctx, dec_proc s = tt \rightarrow denote s ctx
- The type checker has to reduce (dec_proc s). This is too inefficient in Lean 3.
- In Lean 4, we allow users to use the compiler + IR interpreter to reduce (dec_proc s).
- We still need to use the symbolic reduction engine to show that the current goal and (denote s ctx) are definitionally equal.
- Disadvantages: increases the size of the TCB, external type checkers will probably timeout in proofs using this feature.

New application scenarios

Automated reasoning framework

- Many users use Python + SMT solver to developing automated reasoning engines (e.g., Alive is implemented in Z3Py).
- Lean 3 interpreter is already faster than Python.
- FFI in Lean 4 will provide (efficient) access to external SAT & SMT solvers and ATP.
- Many goodies not available in the Python + SMT framework:
 - Simplifiers.
 - Efficient symbolic simulation.
 - Custom automation.
 - Parsing framework + integration with IDEs (VS Code, Emacs).

Domain Specific Languages

- Users can define and reason about their DSLs.
- Code reuse:
 - Compiler infrastructure.
 - Parsing framework.
 - Elaborator.
 - IDE integration.

Lean as a general purpose programming language

- Lean is an extensible system: parser, elaborator, compiler, etc.
- User certified optimizations as conditional rewriting rules.
- New backends for the Lean 4 IR can be implemented in Lean.
- Foreign function interface.
- leanpkg package management tool implemented in Lean.

Conclusion

- Users can create their on automation, extend and customize Lean
- Domain specific automation
- Internal data structures and procedures are exposed to users
- Whitebox automation
- Lean 4 automation written in Lean will be much more efficient
- Lean 4 will be more extensible
- New application domains
 - Lean 4 as a more powerful Z3Py
 - Lean 4 as a platform for developing domain specific languages