Counting Immutable Beans

Reference Counting Optimized for Purely Functional Programming

Sebastian Ullrich - KIT - Germany Leonardo de Moura - MSR - USA



Microsoft[®] Research



- Pure functional language; Strict; Dependent types
- Meta programming: extend Lean using Lean
- Applications:
 - Formal Abstracts Project Tom Hales
 - Perfectoid Spaces Project
 Lean
 Kevin Buzzard, Johan Commelin, and Patrick Massot
 - Education (CMU, Imperial College, ...)
 - Lean Forward Jasmin Blanchette
 - Protocol Verification (Galois)
 - SQL query equivalence (UW)
 - IMO Grand Challenge (MSR)
 - AliveInLean (MSR)
 - 6 papers at ITP 2019









an extensible compiler

Programming language

Lean3 users write metaprograms/tactics in Lean Examples: ring solver, conductive predicates, superposition prover, transfer tactic, ...

We are implementing Lean4 in Lean itself.

All subsystems can be extended: parser, elaborator, compiler, ...

New compiler is already outperforming Haskell and OCaml.

Proofs for performance and profit.

A better value proposition: use proofs for obtaining more efficient code.

The return of reference counting

- Most compilers for functional languages (OCaml, GHC, ...) use tracing GC
- RC is simple to implement.
- Easy to support multi-threading programs.
- Destructive updates when reference count = 1.
 - It is a known optimization for big objects (e.g., arrays).
 Array.set : Array a -> Index -> a -> Array a
 - We demonstrate it is also relevant for small objects.
- In languages like Coq and **Lean**, we do not have cycles.
- Easy to interface with C, C++ and Rust.

Resurrection hypothesis

Many objects die just before the creation of an object of the same kind.

Examples:

- List.map : List a -> (a -> b) -> List b
- Compiler applies transformations to expressions.
- Proof assistant rewrites/simplifies formulas.
- Updates to functional data structures such as red black trees.
- List zipper goForward([], bs) = ([], bs)goForward(x : xs, bs) = (xs, x : bs)

Contributions

- Approach for reusing memory: small and big values.
 Big values are often nested into small ones.
- Inference procedure for borrowed references (à la Swift)
- Simple and efficient scheme for performing atomic RC updates in multi-threaded programs.
- Implementation and experimental evaluation.
- https://github.com/leanprover/lean4

Reference counts

- Each heap-allocated object has a reference count.
- We can view the counter as a collection of tokens.
- The **inc** instruction creates a new token.
- The **dec** instruction consumes a token.
- When a function takes an argument as an **owned** reference, it must consume one of its tokens.
- A function may consume an owned reference by using dec, passing it to another function, or storing it in a newly allocated value.

Owned references: examples

id x = ret x

mkPairOf x = **inc** *x*; **let** *p* = *Pair x x*; **ret** *p*

fst x y = **dec** *y*; **ret** *x*

Borrowed references

• If *xs* is an owned reference

 $isNil \ xs = case \ xs \ of$ $(Nil \rightarrow dec \ xs; ret \ true)$ $(Cons \rightarrow dec \ xs; ret \ false)$

• If *xs* is a borrowed reference

 $isNil xs = case xs of (Nil \rightarrow ret true) (Cons \rightarrow ret false)$

Owned vs Borrowed

- Transformers and constructors **own** references.
- Inspectors and visitors **borrow** references.
- Remark: it is not safe to destructively update borrowed references even when RC = 1

map f [] = []map f (x : xs) = (f x) : (map f xs)

First attempt

```
map f xs = case xs of
  (ret xs)
  (let x = proj<sub>1</sub> xs; inc x; let s = proj<sub>2</sub> xs; inc s;
    let y = f x; let ys = map f s;
    let r = (reuse xs in ctor<sub>2</sub> y ys); ret r)
```







$$map f xs = case xs of$$
(ret xs)
(let x = proj_1 xs; inc x; let s = proj_2 xs; inc s;
let y = f x; let ys = map f s;
let r = (reuse xs in ctor_2 y ys); ret r)
f \longrightarrow trim
xs \longrightarrow 1 + 1 + 1 + ... 1 +

...

BAD. We only reused the one memory cell. We can do better!

map f [] = []map f (x : xs) = (f x) : (map f xs)

map f xs = case xs of
 (ret xs)
 (let x = proj₁ xs; inc x; let s = proj₂ xs; inc s;
 let w = reset xs;
 let y = f x; let ys = map f s;
 let r = (reuse w in ctor₂ y ys); ret r)

Second attempt

;



$$map f xs = case xs of$$

(ret xs)

(let $x = proj_1 xs;$ inc x; let $s = proj_2 xs;$ inc s;

let w = reset xs;

let y = fx; let ys = map fs;

let $r = (reuse w in ctor_2 y ys); ret r)$



s;









The whole list was destructively updated!

The compiler

- Lean => Lambda Pure
- Insert **reset/reuse** instructions
- Infer borrowed annotations
- Insert inc/dec instructions
- Additional optimizations

 $w, x, y, z \in Var$ $c \in Const$ $e \in Expr \qquad ::= c \overline{y} \mid pap \ c \overline{y} \mid x \ y \mid ctor_i \overline{y} \mid proj_i \ x$ $F \in FnBody ::= ret \ x \mid let \ x = e; \ F \mid case \ x \ of \ \overline{F}$ $f \in Fn \qquad ::= \lambda \ \overline{y}. F$ $\delta \in Program = Const \rightarrow Fn$

Inserting reset/reuse

For each (case x of $F_1 ldots F_n$), for each branch F_i , if F_i is of form (*P*; *S*; let $y := \operatorname{ctor}_i zs$; *K*) where

1. #zs is equal to the number of fields of x at branch F_i

2. x is dead at (S; let $y := \operatorname{ctor}_i zs; K$)

then replace with

P; let $w := \operatorname{reset} x$; *S*; let $y := \operatorname{reuse} w$ in ctor_i *zs*; *K*

$$swap [] = []$$

$$swap [x] = [x]$$

$$swap (x: y: zs) = y: x: zs$$

$$(let t_1 = proj_1 xs;$$

$$let t_2 = proj_1 t_1; let t_2 = proj_2 t_1;$$

$$let t_1 = ctor_2 t_1 t_2; let t_2 = ctor_2 t_2 t_1;$$

$$swap (x: y: zs) = t_1 t_2; let t_2 = ctor_2 t_2 t_1;$$

Inserting reset/reuse

For each (case x of $F_1 ldots F_n$), for each branch F_i , if F_i is of form (*P*; *S*; let $y := \operatorname{ctor}_i zs$; *K*) where

1. #zs is equal to the number of fields of x at branch F_i

2. x is dead at (S; let $y := \operatorname{ctor}_i zs; K$)

then replace with

P; let $w := \operatorname{reset} x$; *S*; let $y := \operatorname{reuse} w$ in $\operatorname{ctor}_i zs$; *K*

```
swap xs = case xs of

(ret xs)

(let t_1 = proj_2 xs; case t_1 of

(ret xs)

(let h_1 = proj_1 xs;

let h_2 = proj_1 t_1; let t_2 = proj_2 t_1;

let r_1 = ctor_2 h_1 t_2; let r_2 = ctor_2 h_2 r_1; ret r_2))

swap xs = case xs of

(ret xs)

(let t_1 = proj_2 xs; case t_1 of

(ret xs)

(let h_1 = proj_1 xs; let w_1 = reset xs;

let h_2 = proj_1 t_1; let t_2 = proj_2 t_1;

let w_2 = reset t_1; let r_1 = reuse w_2 in ctor_2 h_1 t_2;

let r_2 = reuse w_1 in ctor_2 h_2 r_1; ret r_2))
```

Inferring borrowed annotations

- Heuristic based on the fact that when we mark a parameter as borrowed
 - We reduce the number of RC operations needed, but we prevent reset/reuse and primitive operations from reusing memory cells.
- We also want to preserve tail calls.
- Our approach: collect variables that must be owned.
 - *x* or one of its projections is used in a reset.
 - *x* is passed to a function that takes an owned reference.
 - By marking *x* as borrowed we destroy a tail call.

Tail call preservation

$$f x = case x of$$

$$(let r = proj_1 x; ret r)$$

$$(let y_1 = ctor_1; let y_2 = ctor_1 y_1; let r = f y_2; ret r)$$

If we mark x as borrowed, we do not preserve tail calls

$$f x = case x of$$

$$(let r = proj_1 x; inc r; ret r)$$

$$(let y_1 = ctor_1; let y_2 ctor_1 y_1;$$

$$let r = f y_2; dec y_2; ret r)$$

Multi-threading support

Task.mk : $(Unit \rightarrow \alpha) \rightarrow Task \alpha$ Task.bind : Task $\alpha \rightarrow (\alpha \rightarrow Task \beta) \rightarrow Task \beta$ Task.get : Task $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha$

- We store in the object header whether an object is multi-thread or not.
- New objects are not multi-threaded.
- We don't need memory fences for updating RC if an object is not multi-thread.
- The runtime has a markMT(o) primitive.
 (Task.mk f) => markMT(f)
 (Task.bind x f) => markMT(x) and markMT(f)

Simple Optimizations

- Our compiler expands reset and reuse using lower level instructions: isShared *x*, set *x*[*i*] *v*, ...
- The lower level instructions generate new optimization opportunities for many common IR sequences. Example: reset immediately followed by reuse.
- Minimizes the amount of copying and RC operations.

Comparison with Linear/Uniqueness Types

- Values of types marked as linear/unique can be destructively updated.
- Compiler statically checks whether values are being used linearly or not.
- Pros: no runtime checks; compatible with tracing GCs.
- Cons: awkward to use; complicates a dependent type system even more.
- Big cons: all or nothing. A function *f* that takes non-shared values most of the time cannot perform destructive updates.

Persistent Arrays



Reusing big and small objects. Persistent arrays will often be shared.

What about cycles?

- Inductive datatypes in Lean are acyclic.
- We can implement co-inductive datatypes without creating cycles.
- Only unsafe code in Lean can create cycles.
- Cycles are overrated.
- What about graphs? How do you represent them in Lean?
 - Use arrays like in Rust.
 - We have destructive updates in Lean.
 - Persistent arrays are also quite fast.

Experimental evaluation

Benchmark	Lean	del[%]	Cache Misses [1M/s]	GHC	GC	СМ	OCaml	GC	СМ
binarytrees	1.0	40	37	3.09	72	120	1.20	N/A	186
deriv	1.0	24	32	1.89	51	31	1.42	76	59
const_fold	1.0	11	83	2.23	64	51	4.66	91	107
qsort	1.0	9	0	1.63	1	0	1.37	13	1
rbmap	1.0	2	6	2.41	39	23	1.00	31	27
rbmap_10	1.48	15	34	16.37	88	47	1.93	60	59
rbmap_1	5.1	27	55	16.41	88	48	9.83	88	89

Conclusion

- It is feasible to implement functional languages using RC.
- We barely scratched the surface of the design space.
- We are implementing Lean4 in Lean.
- Compiler generates C code.
- Compiler source code and all experiments are available online. <u>http://github.com/leanprover/lean4</u>
- We are working on new optimizations.